#### Work Package 9 - Detectors

# Task 4. Emergent Detector Technologies for neutron scattering and muon spectroscopy

#### Sub Task .3 - Silicon photomultipliers for muon spectroscopy



Dan Pooley Steve Cottrell Luca Pollastri Myron Huzan (NMI3) Lakshan Mohan STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK



SINE 2020 Wednesday September 7th, 2016



Science & Technology Facilities Council

### Implementing the MuSR technique;

### consequences for detector technology

#### **The Experiment...**



#### **Beam Structure...**

#### at a 'continuous' source...

at a 'pulsed' source...





Muon rate of 10<sup>5</sup>/s; Average time between muons 10 μs; **Measure one muon at a time!** 

Implant 1000s muons/pulse; Measure 1000s muons simultaneously!

#### Data...

#### at a 'continuous' source...



Muon precession ~1.3GHz, 9.8T

#### at a 'pulsed' source...



Clean data to >10  $\times \tau_{\mu}$  in ~1.5 hrs (250Mev)

#### **Detector Requirements: timing properties**

at a 'continuous' source...

- Excellent timing resolution.
- Deadtime not important as only one muon counted at a time.

# The focus of our R&D and this talk!

at a 'pulsed' source...

- Timing resolution requirements easily achievable as the source/pulse width is the limiting factor.
- Must handle very high instantaneous flux (all muons implanted at timezero) so minimised deadtime is crucial.

#### Deadtime reduction by independent channels:

#### Pixelate the detector array



- Active volume effects
  - Positron traversing multiple elements
  - Dead space
- Difficult assembly

### But there is a limit ....

Can higher count rates be achieved by further optimisation of single channel dead time and higher pixilation? -> probably only small advances.

Is there a disruptive technology?

- Commercially available & Cost efficient
- Magnetic insensitivity
- With equal gain, quantum efficiency poise discrimination, temperature stabi of a PMT ... ... Etc

Possibly SiPM's



# What is the Deadtime of a SiPM?

### Minimal

Long (100's of ns)

Lowering fraction of SiPM cells discharging per muon hit gives reduction in deadtime

Higher fraction means deadtime tends toward cell recovery time





### Three experimental threads

#### **MuSR Beamline Optical Investigation Monte Carlo** Simulation Detector chain can be Photon flux accurately Accurately simulate a • • • parameterised within a constructed and statistical investigation investigated in a controlled in detector realistic environment. environment. performance.

### **MuSR Beamline Work**



### Parameterising the detector performance using a Figure of Merit with MuSR data

- Intuitive FoM to parameterise the 'missed counts' in a MuSR experiment.
- Moved away from non-extendable dead time model as one dead-time value is not appropriate for SiPM (reported at kick off meeting in Abingdon)
- Encapsulates the operational parameters and usability of the detector. For example, 'any' detector can count at 1 c/f at a pulsed source!
- Based on realistic 'user' experience rather than determining absolute values and comparison to data sheets.



# μSR – Asymmetry

Asymmetry =  $((Counts \cdot e^{\frac{c}{\mu}})/N_0) - 1$ 





NOTE-  $\mu$ SR scientists will run a detector with some deadtime distortion and correct for this if possible. The FoM allows us to compare the rate at which detectors can be run, which is more useful than absolute deadtime numbers.







- Data here does not draw conclusions as not all combinations of sensor and signal processing are reported
- SiPMs can be used with comparable performance but careful work has to be done to match PMT systems.
- BUT..
  - Do these results depend on the photon flux on the SiPM?
  - Are we 'on the edge' or can we mass-produce these detectors?

# **Optical Investigation**



### **Optical Investigation**

Complete characterisation of:

- Optical components
  - LED drivers and modules
  - Neutral Density filters
- SiPM parameters
  - RC measurements
  - Cell Discharge Fraction as a function of photon flux



# What is expected?

- Zero photon flux should give zero cells fired (Disregarding Thermal).
- Complete saturation should fire all cells in SiPM.
- Low discharge fraction, 0-15% should look 'linear'.
- As Discharge fraction increases the likelihood of photons landing on the same cell will increase
- As Photon Flux is increased, cells discharged should tend to total available cells in the SiPM.



Experimentally the difficulties were around normalisation and reduction of systematic errors.





### **Outline of Monte Carlo Simulation**

FoM

o

'missed'

Muons



- Complete control over the parameter space, capable of simulating the dependencies of each parameter.
- Take information from: •
  - **MuSR** Investigation
    - Realistic count rates
    - Severity of dead time correction capable
  - **Optical Investigation** 
    - SiPM RC values
    - Cell discharge probability as a function of photon flux Cello Contraction of the contrac ٠

With a controllable amount of the cells being discharged per muon event

And the simulation tells me how many muons I would have missed !

I want to input

muons in to my

Input muons counts/frame)

experiment

### Missed Counts – SOut

- Simulation Setup
  - SensL FC50um
  - Cells 2668
  - RC: 116ns
- Frames ran to get statistical validity.



#### **Missed Counts - Comparison**

SensL FC50um – SOut

SensL FC50um – DSOut



Conclusions				
MuSR Investigation	Monte Carlo Modelling		La	iser C
imised measurements for			. Dev	

- Optimised measurements for reliable determination of dead time
- Comprehensive data analysis of muon asymmetry and dead time corrections
- Quantitative measurements of detector performance as a function of rate, manufacturer, cell size and signal processing.
- Complete simulation of SiPM based detector.
- Fully parameterised the photon flux and count rate space
- Validated all features observed from MuSR experimental data

#### aser Characterisation

- Parameterised signal shapes and recharge time constant(s)
- Demonstrated relationship between pixels fired and incident photon flux and linked to continuous probability model.

#### **Overview**

- Laser characterisation provided accurate numerical values for input into Monte Carlo modelling and validated the operational conditions for the SiPM when coupled to a scintillator.
- Deeper understanding was gained of our MuSR results via the Monte Carlo modelling. In particular the model has validated the operational range for the experiments and parametrises the feasible count rates.
- On track to deliver comprehensive report evaluating SiPM's satisfying deliverable 9.8 in month 24 as agreed.

# Additionally

- Outcome of the three investigations has lead to a robust understanding of results; which are being prepared for publication.
- GSPC Detector development has kicked off (see deliverable 9.13, due month 48)
- Continuing collaboration with JPARC (also a intense pulsed muon source), working toward a fundamental understanding for the implementation of SiPM's at pulsed sources.

# Thank you for your attention

### Backup slides

$$\mu SR - Dead Time$$

$$Counts \cdot e^{\frac{t}{\mu}} = N_0 - Counts \left(\frac{t_{dead}}{t_{bin}F}\right)$$
Non-Extendable Dead Time Correction Model



### Selected MuSR Results



### SINE2020: Emergent Detector Technologies...

#### Tasks

- 9.4 Emergent Detector Technologies for neutron scattering and µSR
- 9.4.3 Silicon Photomultipliers for µSR

#### Deliverables

D9.8 (Y2, Q4): Report discussing an evaluation of commercial SiPMs for muon spectroscopy detector arrays

D9.13 (Y4, Q4): Report discussing alternative detector technologies for scintillation-based arrays for muon spectroscopy

Coordinator: STFC, Partner: PSI

