





SINE2020 General Assembly Bilbao, May 28th 2019

WP 8: INSTRUMENTATION - E-TOOLS

- E-tools for integrated simulation using neutronics and Monte Carlo ray-tracing - Innovative Shielding Concepts and Materials
 - Compact Instrumentation for Larmor Labelling applications at the ESS



Peter Willendrup,





Technical University of Denmark

1. Objectives (and WP8 Structure)

! Task 8.1: E-tools for integrated

simulation using neutronics and Monte Carlo ray-tracing













! Task 8.3: Compact
 Instrumentation for Larmor
 Labelling applications at the
 ESS









! Task 8.2: Innovative Shielding

Concepts and Materials



background and utilise this to better shield our instruments using new shielding approaches Investigate the effect of the ESS pancake / butterfly moderator on the design of NSE and Larmor labelling instruments at this facility



! Task 8.3: Compact Instrumentation for Larmor Labelling applications at the ESS

(heavy concrete, laminar shielding)

3





	ISTSI 2019 - June 29th 2019 Holiday Inn Московские Ворота St. Petersburg, Russia Agenda:	ECNS 2019
9:30-9:40	Welcome	
9:40-10:00	News from the RESTRAX/SIMRES project, including MCPL support and McStas bindings for SIMRES	Jan Šaroun, NPI
10:00-10:20	News from the Vitess project including MCPL support	Egor Vezhlev, FZJ
10:20-10:40	News from the McStas project, including interoperability solutions for SIMRES, Vitess and MCNP	Peter Willendrup, DTU/ESS
10:40-11:00	Developments in the MCPL software framework	Thomas Kittelmann, ESS
11:00-11:20	Coffee break	
11:20-11:40	An optimised neutron super mirror patch for MCNP	Miguel Magán, ESS-Bilbao
11:40-12:00	ESS-developed "duct source" for describing neutron guides in Geant4	Ken Andersen, ESS
12:00-12:20	CombLayer-driven MCNP-McStas simulations for simulating instrument signal to noise	Esben Klinkby, DTU/ESS
12:20-12:40	Applications of the neutron super mirror patch for MCNP	Octavio González, ESS-Bilbao
12:40-14:00	McStas and Scatter-logger driven calculations of prompt gamma shielding for neutron guides	Rodion Kolevatov, NPI
14:00-14:20	Lunch	
14:20-14:40	Studies of relevant design-parameters to enable compact Larmor devices in ESS designs	Katia Pappas, TUDelft
14:40-15:00	Magnetic field calculations for compact Larmor devices in ESS designs	Michel Thijs, TUDelft
15:00-15:20	Simulation benchmarks for experiments at the PSI BOA beamline	Erik Knudsen, DTU
15:20-15:40	Extensions to the Bonner Sphere Spectrometer at PSI, plus experiments and simulation benchmarking for newly developed concrete	Masako Yamada, PSI
15:40-16:00	Development and studies of Polyethylene-B4C concretes at ESS	Ken Andersen, ESS
16:00-16:20	Coffee break	
16:20-16:40	Studies of material composition and neutron activation	Eszter Dian, MTA-EK
16:40-17:00	Simulation studies of material irradiation	Esben Klinkby, DTU/ESS
17:00-17:20	Simulation studies of laminar shielding concepts	Miguel Magán, ESS-Bilbao





Holiday Inn St. Petersburg, Russia, June 29th 2019 - Saturday before ECNS

Have held ~ 5 spots if anyone more from SINE wants to join!? Please let me know! (link)

25 participants, half are not from WP

- Fullfils WP8 MS5+MS6





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 654000.





SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-

tools – P 29/5/19

Willendrup





	ISTSI 2019 - June 29th 2019 Holiday Inn Московские Ворота St. Petersburg, Russia Agenda:	ECN5 2019
9:30-9:40	Welcome	
9:40-10:00	News from the RESTRAX/SIMRES project, including MCPL support and McStas bindings for SIMRES	Jan Šaroun, NPI
10:00-10:20	News from the Vitess project including MCPL support	Egor Vezhlev, FZJ
10:20-10:40	News from the McStas project, including interoperability solutions for SIMRES, Vitess and MCNP	Peter Willendrup, DTU/ESS
10:40-11:00	Developments in the MCPL software framework	Thomas Kittelmann, ESS
11:00-11:20	Coffee break	
11:20-11:40	An optimised neutron super mirror patch for MCNP	Miguel Magán, ESS-Bilbao
11:40-12:00	ESS-developed "duct source" for describing neutron guides in Geant4	Ken Andersen, ESS
12:00-12:20	CombLayer-driven MCNP-McStas simulations for simulating instrument signal to noise	Esben Klinkby, DTU/ESS
12:20-12:40	Applications of the neutron super mirror patch for MCNP	Octavio González, ESS-Bilbao
12:40-14:00	McStas and Scatter-logger driven calculations of prompt gamma shielding for neutron guides	Rodion Kolevatov, NPI
14:00-14:20	Lunch	
14:20-14:40	Studies of relevant design-parameters to enable compact Larmor devices in ESS designs	Katia Pappas, TUDelft
14:40-15:00	Magnetic field calculations for compact Larmor devices in ESS designs	Michel Thijs, TUDelft
15:00-15:20	Simulation benchmarks for experiments at the PSI BOA beamline	Erik Knudsen, DTU
15:20-15:40	Extensions to the Bonner Sphere Spectrometer at PSI, plus experiments and simulation benchmarking for newly developed concrete	Masako Yamada, PSI
15:40-16:00	Development and studies of Polyethylene-B4C concretes at ESS	Ken Andersen, ESS
16:00-16:20	Coffee break	
16:20-16:40	Studies of material composition and neutron activation	Eszter Dian, MTA-EK
16:40-17:00	Simulatio	





Holiday Inn St. Petersburg, Russia, June 29th 2019 - Saturday before ECNS

Have held ~ 5 spots if anyone more from SINE wants to join!? Please let me know! (link)

25 participants, half are not from WP

- Fullfils WP8 MS5+MS6



Simulatic This pro

17:00-17:20

progra





2. Work carried out: D8.3 Computational tests, multiple platforms





Optimisation of super-mirror patch to MCNP(X)₁, now allows both MPI₂ and use of DxTran₃ sphere variance reduction, allowing "deterministic transport" of both reflected and non-reflected intensity







1F. Gallmeier ORNL, U. Filges PSI - original patch to MCNPX 2.5, Esben Klinkby MCNPX 2.7,

2Ryan Bergmann, Emmanouela Rantsiou PSI, stable use of Message Passing Interface parallelisation for MCNPX

³Ryan Bergmann, Mguel Magán ESS-Bilbao, improved patch allowing use of variance reduction, i.e. definition of geometrical "area of interest" along / at end of guide for MCNP 6/6.1/6.2





2. Work carried out: D8.3 Computational tests, multiple platforms





Optimisation of super-mirror patch to MCNP, now allows both MPI and use of DxTran sphere variance reduction, allowing "deterministic transport" of both reflected and non-reflected intensity







- Developed solution agrees very well with legacy implementation in MCNPX
- Initial assessment shows 80% speedup of shielding calculations near end of MIRACLES guide
- We have contacted the MCNP team at LANL to enquire about the legal / license terms under which the patch could be distributed

2. Work carried out: D8.8 Port of selected scattering kernels from McStas to SIMRES

By use of MCPL input / output (see D8.2), we have implemented wrapper- Ξ instruments of the McStas components

- PowderN (Debye-Scherrer cones)
- Single_crystal (bragg spots, multiple scattering, secondary extinction...)
 Isotropic_Sqw (Inelastic scattering from isotropic materials) further components e.g. for SANS are in the pipe.





All parameters and inputs are retained and as standard in McStas

Example

2. Work carried out: D8.8 Port of selected scattering kernels from McStas to SIMRES

By use of MCPL input / output (see D8.2), we have implemented wrapper- Ξ instruments of the McStas components

• PowderN (Debye-Scherrer cones)





Single_crystal (bragg spots, multiple scattering, secondary extinction...)
 Isotropic_Sqw (Inelastic scattering from isotropic materials) - further components e.g. for SANS are in the pipe.

All parameters and inputs are retained and as standard in McStas

The resulting executables can be used transparently from within SIMRES, and next official SIMRES release will include MCPL support, plus these McStas plugins.

Example





2. Work carried out: D8.12 Software documentation and report on combined RESTRAX + McStas simulations

Why combine RESTRAX + McStas?

G

- Independent code validation by performing inter-comparison tests
- Complementarity: Each package includes unique features and models not available in the other two.
- Performance options: For example, efficient sampling strategy allowing fast simulations at a single CPU in SIMRES, versus highly configurable programming environment which is paid off by slower simulations in McStas.





2. Work carried out: D8.12 Software documentation and report on combined RESTRAX + McStas simulations





Case study: BEER@ESS









2. Work carried out: D8.12 Software documentation and report on combined RESTRAX + McStas simulations



Case study: BEER@ESS





Figure 2. Diffractograms simulated by the combined SIMRES+McStas ray-tracing (red line) and by McStas only (black points). The detail on the right permits to assess differences, which are within the statistical errors.

Table 1. Comparison of computing times and statistical errors for the primary beam intensity.

	Rel. erro	r (primary beam)	Computing time	
SIMRES + McStas 1	.1 % 56 s	Substantial s	peed gain! мсs	as 1.8 % 1110 s

2. Work carried out: D8.12 Software documentation and report combined RESTRAX + McStas simulations











Figure 3. (a) Modulated beam structure at the primary slit – SIMRES MCPL output passed to McStas.

(b) ToF - 2q map of events registered by the detector component

(NPI_tof_theta_monitor.comp) in McStas; multiplexed diffraction lines from the duplex steel sample (PowderN.comp).

a)







Figure 4. Diffractogram produced by the McStas component NPI_tof_dhkl_detector with the ed off (black, left scale) and on (red, right scale).







2. Work carried out: D8.12 Software documentation and report on combined RESTRAX + McStas simulations





Takes advantage of

 Flexibility in McStas-based samples, newly developed combined PowderN-SANS sample



Figure 7. Time structure (left) and spectrum (right) ofhe primary beam simulated by SIMRES for BEER in the alternating frame mode. It wassed as MCPL input to the subsequent McStas simulation of the sample and secondary beamline(see Figure 8).



Figure 8. Diffractogram and SANS pattern "measured' simultaneously on the same sample- out of the McStas simulation **Thishersie on dary beam** by the European Union (GA no.

29/5/19

654000)





2. Work carried out: D8.10 Several background measurement series at different facilities in Europe



Background measurements at spallation source SINQ@PSI and small research reactor AKR-2@TU Dresden Two very different types of facilities





Systematic use of the enhanced Bonner Sphere Spectrometer (BSS)



SINE2020-developed BSSsystem with PE moderators, Cu and Pb shells



Temperature controlled heading and cooling (at leas 8-4 times per sphere)

This project is funded by the European Union (GA no. 654000)





2. Work carried out: D8.10 Several background measurement series at different facilities in Europe

PSI measurements & simulations



Setup in the middle position 2

SINQ neutron guide bunker with the three measurement position





The agreement between simulations and measurement is very good. The small differences in the thermal region could be scattering effects which are not fully implemented in the MCNPX model.

2. Work carried out: D8.10 Several background measurement series at different facilities in Europe



AKR-2 measurements & simulations









BSS-system with PE moderators and Cu shells

BOA beamline





Beam"center"(fast"&"thermal)"



Fast and thermal neutron flux distribution at the BOA beamline







BOA - Fast neutron spectrum measured by the BSS system





2. Work carried out: D8.14 Investigation of effective shielding concepts for high energy particles



Shielding-box setup





Shielding box for material investigations

Measurement setup at BOA beamline



ifferent directions, e.g. sky-shine vs.

This project is funded by the European Union (GA no. 654000)





2. Work carried out: D8.14 Investigation of effective shielding concepts for high energy particles





2. Work carried out: D8.15 Recommendations for ESS instruments

Owes much to the work of D8.7

• Compact Neutron Spin Echo Spectrometer



Fig. 1: Schematic representation of the configuration considered for the first arm of a NSE spectrometer. The layout is characterized by the lengths $0, 4_{/}, 4_{2}$ and 1. The blue rectangular area represents the main precession coil.









Delft

2. Work carried out: D8.15 Recommendations for ESS instruments

Owes much to the work of D8.7

• Compact Neutron Spin Echo Spectrometer



The results [1,2] show that there is a clear gain with the "pancake moderator" beams. Indeed, rectangular beam cross-sections with a height over width ratio, e.g. 1:4, that mimic the ESS "pancake moderator" beams lead to the best results, and improve the homogeneity of the magnetic field integrals by at least 30 %. On the other hand, because relative inhomogeneities become worse for shorter coils, in order to reach high resolution, i.e. long Fourier times, the length of the instruments *Fig. 1: Schematic representation of the configuration considered for the first arm of a NSE spectrometer. The cannot be reduced*. *layout is characterized by the lengths* Consequently, NSE





spectrometer0, 4_/, 4₂ and 1. The blue rectangular area represents the main s will perform better at the ESS, as the required magnetic fprecession coil.ield integral corrections (through Fresnel coils) will be weaker, but they will not be more compact than e.g. at the ILL or FRM2.

2. Work carried out: D8.15 Recommendations for ESS instruments

Owes much to the work of D8.7

• Compact Neutron Spin Echo Spectrometer



The results [1,2] show that there is a clear gain with the "pancake moderator" beams. Indeed, rectangular beam cross-sections with a height over width ratio, e.g. 1:4, that mimic the ESS "pancake moderator" beams lead to the best results, and improve the homogeneity of the magnetic field integrals by at least 30 %. On the other hand, because relative inhomogeneities become worse







for shorter coils, in order to reach high resolution, i.e. long Fourier times, the length of the instruments *Fig. 1: Schematic representation of the configuration considered for the first arm of a NSE spectrometer. The* cannot be reduced. *layout is characterized by the lengths* Consequently, NSE spectrometer0, 4₁, 4₂ and 1. *The blue rectangular area represents the main* s will perform better at the ESS, as the required magnetic fprecession coil.ield integral corrections (through Fresnel coils) will be An IN15-like instrument will weaker, but they will not be more compact than e.g. at the ILL or FRM2.

have gain in resolution at the ESS





2. Work carried out: D8.15 Recommendations for ESS instruments

field, which guides the beam polarisation.

This project is funded by the European Union (GA no. 654000)







• Compact SEMSANS add-on for SANS and Imaging





This project is funded by the European Union (GA no. 654000)





2. Work carried out: D8.15 Recommendations for ESS instruments

Owes much to the work of D8.7

Compact SEMSANS add-on for SANS and Imaging





The results show that one can change the dimensions while keeping the optimised [x,z,y] ratios for the components. For the setup investigated, this implies that when considering the beam geometry of the ESS pancake moderators, the overall length can be reduced from 3.1 m to 1.1 m, which can be considered as the minimal length for such an add-on setup. Such a compact design could be easily implemented as an add-on. It could be installed and removed, according to the experimental requirements and would substantially extend the capabilities of the ESS. A possible host instrume





nt would be the polarised *Figure 2: Schematic drawing of* neutron *SEMSANS* SANSa,*rrangeme* SKADI, *nt* where *considered for the* the add-on*magnetic* would *field calculations*allow simultaneously SA. *The white* NS and SE*box*MSANSes *illustrate* measurements. Another host instrument candidate is the neutron imaging instrume *the Vcoils, which act as* $\pi/2$ *flippers, the blue components are DC magnets with parallelogram* nt ODIN, *shaped pole shoes, the central coil is a field stewhere* the add-on could be used for *pper* high resolution dark field imaging. *The long upper and lower create a homog* [4]. *enous magnetic* **2. Work carried out: D8.15 Recommendations for ESS instruments**

Owes much to the work of D8.7

- Compact SEMSANS add-on for SANS and Imaging
- Parameter-space studies and FEM magnetic field modelling

field, which guides the beam polarisation.



The results show that one can change the dimensions while keeping the optimised [x,z,y] ratios for the components. For the setup investigated, this implies that when considering the beam geometry of the ESS pancake moderators, the overall length can be reduced from 3.1 m to 1.1 m, which can be considered as the minimal length for such an add-on setup. Such a compact design could be easily implemented as an add-on. It could be installed and removed, according to the experimental requirements and would substantially extend the capabilities of the ESS. A possible host instrume nt would be the polarised *Figure 2: Schematic drawing of* neutron *SEMSANS* SANSa, *rrangeme* SKADI, *nt* where *considered for the* the add-on*magnetic* would *field calculations* allow simultaneously SA. *The white* NS and SEboxMSANSes illustrate measurements. Another host instrumer to candidat the Vcoils, which act as $\pi/2$ in provide the polarized of the test of the test of the set of the set of the test of test of the test of the test of the test of test of test of the test of test

applicable nstrument

ODIN, shaped pole shoes, the central coil is a field stewhere the add-on could be used forpper high resolution dark f. The long upper and lower ield imagingdark-field mecreate a homo [4].genousthod for ESS, b

synchronising the fields with the source pulse

V

field, which guides the beam polarisation.









Delays: Have now caught up with those from last GA...





*+@	&# " /!	*48 ;%	\bigcirc
*+@	/\$! !! & 242GR F !"" !"	2 &	~
*+@	;&D! " /!" " "(,9! ! F !"F,G	*48 2 &	\bigcirc
*+@	# "(!/ // # "% (&"/%(% (&! "	2 &	~
*+@	2 !"		

SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-tools - P

43 💻

Willendrup

29/5/19







Delays: Have now caught up with those from last GA...

SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-tools - P

Willendrup





D8.16	Activation studies, radiation resistance	16 - MTA EK	Report	Public	36	Reason:
D8.17	Investigation of different test samples	3 - STFC	Report	Public	42	other project obligations,
D8.18	Comparative Vitess+MCNP simulations	16 - MTA EK	Report	Public	46	focus on scientific paper vs. deliverable
D8.19	Validation of the measurements by Monte Carlo Simulations	15 - ESS-B	Report	Public	48	report. Expected around
D8.20	Final release of all software	13 - DTU	Other	Public	48	workshop

= incoming / being written up



SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-tools - P



Willendrup









SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-tools - P





D8.16	Activation studies, radiation resistance	16 - MTA EK	Report	Public	36	
D8.17	Investigation of different test samples	3 - STFC	Report	Public	42	
D8.18	Comparative Vitess+MCNP simulations	16 - MTA EK	Report	Public	46	
D8.19	Validation of the measurements by Monte Carlo Simulations	15 - ESS-B	Report	Public	48	
D8.20	Final release of all software	13 - DTU	Other	Public	48	

Experiment scheduled for mid-June at ISIS. PSI and ESS-Bilbao in close contact on data-evaluation and simulation

SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-tools - P

47 💻

Willendrup















D8.16	Activation studies, radiation resistance	16 - MTA EK	Report	Public	36	
D8.17	Investigation of different test samples	3 - STFC	Report	Public	42	
D8.18	Comparative Vitess+MCNP simulations	16 - MTA EK	Report	Public	46	
D8.19	Validation of the measurements by Monte Carlo Simulations	15 - ESS-B	Report	Public	48	
D8.20	Final release of all software	13 - DTU	Other	Public	48	

Wigner staff on track but not at this WP meeting. Will try to get them to **contribute talk and paper** in connection with **ISTSI**







Remaining 3

1	[I README.md							1		
	D8.1	OINE									
	D8.1	SINE	2020	WP8							
	_	Repositor	Repository for software and methods and publications developed in WP8 under the EU SINE2020 project								
	D8.1 This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 654000										
ł		C _02									
	D8.1	For more i	informatio	on, please visit	the SINE2020 website	at https://www.sine	2020.eu				
		0	Final re	elease of all	12 DTU	Other	D-11-	40			
	D8.2	0	softwar	e	13 - DTU	Other	Public	48			
				Populat	ion of GitHub	SINE 2020	GA 2018, WP8 Instrun	nentation & e-te	ools P		
29/	https://github.com/McStasMcXtrace/SINE2020WP8										





Impact

General WP goals:



- Improving understanding signal-to-noise
- Availability of well-documented software tools and methods



- Background from high-energy neutrons, challenge for ESS Bonner sphere spectrometer
 - New material solutions: B-PE, Epument cast, laminar shielding



- Investigation of Larmor methods at ESS
- Well-investigated parameter space

SE and SEMSANS concepts devised for use at ESS, promising "IN15 type", compact SEMSANS add-on for SKADI and dark-field imaging at ODIN WP8 will

pave the way for **optimised use of ESS**.





Applications **beyond** neutron community: 🗸

- MCPL utilisation in other scientific disciplines, e.g. in *<u>cosmogenics</u> and +plasma physics
- Bonner-sphere spectrometer in use in accelerator physics and nuclear waste storage



*cosmogenics == use of radionuclides produced in-situ by cosmic rays, earth surface science †A. S. Richardson, et al. Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 22, 050401







KPI values - and current aims...

KPI data overview			ICANS 2017	Other events [*]	Current sum	ISTSI 2019	project aim
8 - Instrumentation E-tools	Number of presentations (poster, oral)	0+8	2+5	3+7	25	17	30
	Number of publications	1	2	3	6	16-17?	8-10
	Number of workshop participants	15	10	100	125	28	all > 10
■We should							<u> </u>

Get current / incoming results written up





*McStas schools at ISIS, ORNL, CSNS, MDANSE2018, ...

Questions?

SINE 2020 GA 2018, WP8 Instrumentation & e-tools - P

Willendrup